

# Arctic Sea Ice Freeboard and Thickness, Version 1

# **USER GUIDE**

#### How to Cite These Data

As a condition of using these data, you must include a citation:

Yi, D. and H. J. Zwally. 2009, updated 2014-04-15. *Arctic Sea Ice Freeboard and Thickness, Version 1*. [Indicate subset used]. Boulder, Colorado USA. NASA National Snow and Ice Data Center Distributed Active Archive Center. https://doi.org/10.5067/SXJVJ3A2XIZT. [Date Accessed].

FOR QUESTIONS ABOUT THESE DATA, CONTACT NSIDC@NSIDC.ORG

FOR CURRENT INFORMATION, VISIT https://nsidc.org/data/NSIDC-0393



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1	DETAILED DATA DESCRIPTION			
1.1 Format				
	1.	.1.1	ASCII	3
	1.	.1.2	Binary	3
	1.2	File a	and Directory Structure	3
	1.3	File I	Naming Convention	3
	1.	.3.1	ASCII File Names	3
	1.	.3.2	Binary File Names	4
	1.	.3.3	Grid Cell Center Latitude and Longitude Files	4
	1.	.3.4	Mask File	5
	1.4	File S	Size	5
	1.	.4.1	ASCII File Size	5
	1.	.4.2	Binary File Size	5
	1.5	Volu	me	5
	1.	.5.1	ASCII	5
	1.	.5.2	Binary	5
	1.6	Spat	ial Coverage	5
	1.	.6.1	Spatial Resolution	6
	1.	.6.2	Projection and Grid Description	6
	1.7	Tem	poral Coverage	6
	1.	.7.1	Temporal Resolution	6
	1.8	Para	meter or Variable	7
	1.	.8.1	Parameter Description	7
	1.	.8.2	Sample Data Record	7
2	S	OFTW	ARE AND TOOLS	10
	2.1	Softv	vare and Tools	10
	2.	.1.1	ASCII	10
	2.	.1.2	Binary	10
	2.2	Qual	ity Assessment	11
3	D	ATA A	ACQUISITION AND PROCESSING	11
	3.1	Theo	bry of Measurements	11
	3.2	Data	Acquisition Methods	11
	3.3	Deriv	vation Techniques and Algorithms	12
	3.	.3.1	Processing Steps	13
	3.	.3.2	Error Sources	17
	3.4	Sens	or or Instrument Description	18
4	R	EFER	ENCES AND RELATED PUBLICATIONS	18
	4.1	Rela	ted Data Collections	19
5	С	ONTA	CTS AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	19

6	D	DOCUMENT INFORMATION	19
	6.1	Publication Date	19
	6.2	Date Last Updated	.19

# 1 DETAILED DATA DESCRIPTION

## 1.1 Format

### 1.1.1 ASCII

Each space delimited ASCII vector data file contains file headers followed by columns for latitude, longitude, freeboard, and thickness.

Longitude in WGS 84 degrees in the approximate range of 0 to 360. Freeboard in meters above local sea level at the time of the measurement. Thickness in meters.

Freeboard = 0 means the sea ice surface is at sea level. The average of the lowest 1percent reference elevation is used as reference sea level, so about 0.5 percent of the data are below sea level, that is, negative freeboard. Freeboard values less than zero are set to zero.

**Note**: Thickness values of -999 indicate that a thickness value could not be calculated and should be treated as missing data.

### 1.1.2 Binary

The freeboard and thickness binary gridded files are 304 columns x 448 rows containing littleendian floating-point values in meters. The grid is the 25 km polar stereographic grid used for SSM/I processing.

## 1.2 File and Directory Structure

Data are available on the HTTPS site in the following directory:

https://daacdata.apps.nsidc.org/pub/DATASETS/NSIDC0393\_GLAS\_SI\_Freeboard\_v01

### 1.3 File Naming Convention

#### 1.3.1 ASCII File Names

ASCII files are named according to the following convention and as described in Table 1:

#### Example file name:

laser3i1343001.txt
laserLPTTTTCCC.txt

Variable	Description
laserLP	GLAS Laser Period used to collect data. LP = 3d, 3e, 3f, 3g, 3h, or 3i
TTTT	Laser track number: 0001 through 0432, or 1282 through 1354
CCC	Cycle of Reference Orbit: 001, 002, or 003
.txt	Identifies the file as ASCII data

#### Table 1. Valid Values for the ASCII File Name Variables

#### 1.3.2 Binary File Names

Binary gridded files are named according to the following convention and as described in Table 2:

Example file name: laser3d\_freeboard\_mskd.img

#### Example file name:

laser3d\_freeboard\_mskd.img.hdr

laserLP\_nnnnnnnn.mskd.zzz

#### Table 2. Valid Values for the Binary Grid File Name Variables

Variable	Description
laserLP	GLAS Laser Period used to collect data. LP = 3d, 3e, 3f, 3g, 3h, or 3i
_nnnnnnnnn	Indicating 'freeboard' or 'thickness'
_mskd	Indicating masked image
.ZZZ	Identifies file type: .img (image), .img.hdr (header), or .png (Portable Network Graphics)

### 1.3.3 Grid Cell Center Latitude and Longitude Files

The grid cell center files provide the latitude and longitude for the center of each grid cell as a littleendian floating-point number. The files are named according to the following convention, and as described in Table 3.

#### Example file name:

PS25km\_north\_lon.img PS25km\_north\_mmm.zzz

#### Table 3. Valid Values for the Grid Cell Center File Name Variables

Variable	Description
PS	Polar Stereographic

Variable	Description
25km	25 kilometer grid
_north	northern hemisphere
mmm	latitude (lat) or longitude (lon)
.ZZZ	Identifies file type: .img (image), img.hdr (header)

#### 1.3.4 Mask File

The mask file consists of values 0 = water, 1 = land. The file is named gsfc\_25n.msk. The corresponding header file is gsfc\_25n.msk.hdr.

### 1.4 File Size

### 1.4.1 ASCII File Size

ASCII file sizes range from 2 K to 1 MB

#### 1.4.2 Binary File Size

The .hdr files are each 749 bytes.

The .img files are each 532 KB.

The .png files range from 31 KB to 44 KB.

### 1.5 Volume

### 1.5.1 ASCII

The total volume for the ASCII track data files is approximately 932 megabytes.

### 1.5.2 Binary

The total volume for the binary gridded image, header and masked image files is approximately eight megabytes.

### 1.6 Spatial Coverage

Arctic region

Southernmost Latitude: 65° N Northernmost Latitude: 86° N Westernmost Longitude: 180° W Easternmost Longitude: 180° E

Note: The ASCII data are reported with longitude of 0 degrees to 360 degrees.

#### 1.6.1 Spatial Resolution

The resolution of the gridded data is 25 km. Vectors have a resolution of about 170 meters in the along-track direction, or the distance between the centers of adjacent GLAS footprints. Several kilometers typically separate the ICESat GLAS data tracks.

### 1.6.2 Projection and Grid Description

Two geoid models are used in this study. North of 64° N, the Arctic Gravity Project (ArcGP) latitude limit, the ArcGP geoid is used. The Earth Gravitational Model 1996 (EGM96) geoid is used below 64° N. The difference, D, between ICESat measured sea level and the geoid was used to create a 5 km D-grid for each period. The mean of the 5 km D-grids was used as an improved geoid.

## 1.7 Temporal Coverage

Release 28 Laser Identifier 1AB: 2003-02-20 to 2003-03-29
Release 28 Laser Identifier 2A: 2003-09-25 to 2003-11-19
Release 28 Laser Identifier 2B: 2004-02-17 to 2004-03-21
Release 28 Laser Identifier 2C: 2004-05-18 to 2004-06-21
Release 28 Laser Identifier 3A: 2004-10-03 to 2004-11-08
Release 28 Laser Identifier 3B: 2005-02-17 to 2005-03-24
Release 28 Laser Identifier 3C: 2005-05-20 to 2005-06-23
Release 28 Laser Identifier 3D: 2005-10-21 to 2005-11-24
Release 28 Laser Identifier 3E: 2006-02-22 to 2006-03-27
Release 28 Laser Identifier 3F: 2006-05-24 to 2006-06-26
Release 28 Laser Identifier 3G: 2006-10-25 to 2006-11-27
Release 28 Laser Identifier 3H: 2007-03-12 to 2007-04-14
Release 28 Laser Identifier 3I: 2007-10-02 to 2007-11-05
Release 28 Laser Identifier 3J: 2008-02-17 to 2008-03-21
Release 28 Laser Identifier 3K: 2008-10-04 to 2008-10-19

### 1.7.1 Temporal Resolution

Each ICESat campaign period represents one Arctic-wide ice thickness assessment. Thus the temporal resolution for this data set is approximately two to three times per year.

# 1.8 Parameter or Variable

The parameters of this data set are sea ice freeboard, and sea ice thickness.

#### 1.8.1 Parameter Description

Sea ice freeboard is the height in meters of the sea ice above the water level. Thickness is the thickness in meters of the sea ice.

In the ASCII files, the thickness value -999 indicates missing values, possibly due to failure of the thickness algorithm for certain corresponding freeboard measurements.

The binary gridded \*.img and \*.png files have been masked. Table 4 shows the mask color value assignments to surface features.

.img File Value	.png File Value	Surface Feature
-4	gray = 128	land south of 65° N
-3	gray = 164	land at or north of 65° N
-2	gray = 64	water south of 65° N
-1	gray = 96	water at or north of 65° N
greater than or equal to 0	full color (rainbow) applied across parameter value range	sea ice freeboard or thickness measurements in meters

Table 4	Binony	Imaga	Eilo and			
Table 4.	Dillary	image	Flie anu	FING	гпе	values

### 1.8.2 Sample Data Record

#### 1.8.2.1 ASCII

The following sample of the laser3d0001002.txt ASCII file shows header information, and the first four records of Latitude, Longitude, Freeboard and Thickness values.

Converting ICE Tue Aug 24 17:	Sat/GLAS binary 19:10 2010	freeboard vecto	or data to ASCII
write_fb: file_in: laser_period track: cycle: file_out: non_zero_onl nan_replace:	laser3d000100 : 3d 0001 002 laser3d000100 y: 0 -999	2.dat 2.txt	
read fh:			
Vear.	2005		
Month.	10		
Day:	26		
Hours.	20		
Hour:	20		
Minute:	23		
Doy:	299		
record_count	: 3668		
non_zero_cou	nt: 3651		
nan_count:	0		
Latitude	Longitude	Freeboard	Thickness
72.791718	342.049681	0.373489	0.833361
72.793225	342.048339	0.301693	0.673164
72,794733	342.046998	0.356756	0.796025
72.796242	342.045660	0.319992	0.713994

#### 1.8.2.2 Binary

The following sample shows the laser3d\_freeboard\_mskd.img masked binary gridded image.



The following sample shows the laser3d\_freeboard\_mskd.png masked binary gridded image.



# 2 SOFTWARE AND TOOLS

## 2.1 Software and Tools

### 2.1.1 ASCII

The ASCII track data may be displayed with any Web browser or plain text display software.

### 2.1.2 Binary

The binary gridded data may be displayed using ENVI, ArcGIS, or other similar software packages.

## 2.2 Quality Assessment

For further discussion on quality assessment of GLAS products, refer to the Quality Assessment section of the NSIDC GLAS/ICESat L1 and L2 Global Altimetry documentation.

# 3 DATA ACQUISITION AND PROCESSING

### 3.1 Theory of Measurements

Two geoid models are used in this study. The ArcGP geoid is used north of 64° N since that is the ArcGP latitude limit. The EGM96 geoid is used below 64° N.

Elevations varying more than plus-or-minus 4 meters are not used. This condition filters out some land, island, and iceberg data. These conditions are the same as in Zwally et al. 2008.

ICESat measures a surface elevation profile referenced to an ellipsoid. Due to the limited accuracy of the geoids and ocean tide models, and poor knowledge of the dynamic topography, sea-ice surface elevation referenced to a geoid cannot be regarded as sea-ice freeboard. The information needed to calculate sea-ice freeboard is the elevation difference between the top of the snow surface, local sea levels, and snow height and density above the snow/ice interface. If the elevation difference is known, even if the absolute elevations are biased, the sea-ice freeboard can be determined. Thus, the knowledge of relative elevation is crucial while absolute elevation is less important. This is the underlying concept in the derivation of freeboard.

In this study, constant densities of  $\rho$ W = 1023.9 kg m-3 and  $\rho$ I = 915.1 kg m-3 are used to calculate sea ice thickness from the freeboard. There is no spatial variation of now density  $\rho$ S. Snow density, including the time variation, is based on Kwok 2008. The range of the snow density is 0.16 to 0.40.

Refer to the Processing Steps section below for details on the application of theory of measurements.

### 3.2 Data Acquisition Methods

Freeboard is measured from ICESat elevation profiles (Zwally et al. 2008). Snow depth is interpolated, both spatially and temporally, from climatology snow depth in situ measurements (Warren et al. 1999). Thickness is estimated from ICESat freeboard and climatology snow depth. SSM/I daily ice concentration data (Gloersen et al. 1992) from January 2003 to October 2008 are used to determine sea ice boundaries.

## 3.3 Derivation Techniques and Algorithms

The grids of sea ice freeboard and thickness were derived from the corresponding ICESat point measurements of freeboard and thickness using the following steps.

- 1. Freeboard/thickness values are estimated for each valid ICESat sea ice observation during the laser period.
- The latitude/longitude of each freeboard/thickness observation is mapped into a column/row for a particular cell of the 25 km resolution 304 by 448 Polar Stereographic grid.
- 3. All the freeboard/thickness observations that fall into the same Polar Stereographic grid cell are averaged together resulting in a single freeboard/thickness value pair for each cell. This resampling algorithm is commonly referred to as "drop in the bucket" resampling. The cell will be empty if there is no data in that cell.
- 4. The daily SSM/I Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC) sea ice concentration values for each cell in the Polar Stereographic grid for the laser periods are averaged together for each ICESat campaign. Those cells that do not have a sea ice concentration value, which are cells that fall within the pole hole poleward of 84.5° N, are assigned values. Values from cells across eight equiangular sectors, nearest to the estimation point, are formed into a weighted average. The weight, w, is based on distance, d, from the estimation point to the nearest cell value in a particular sector, via the following relation in Equation 1 which is described in Table 5:

$$w = \left[1 + \left(\frac{3d}{r}\right)^2\right]^{-1}$$
(Equation 1)

Where:

Table 5. Cell	Value Weighted	Average Equation	Description
---------------	----------------	------------------	-------------

Variable	Description
W	Weight
d	Distance
r	Maximum radius from which to draw samples. To interpolate values across the polar region, r was set to 420 km.

5. Assign 0 to freeboard/thickness grid cells where mean ice concentration is less than 20 percent. For freeboard/thickness grid cells having no value and ice concentration greater than or equal to 20 percent, interpolate values.

### 3.3.1 Processing Steps

Sea ice freeboard and thickness are calculated from ICESat GLAS ground tracks using the following steps.

- 1. **Elevation data filtering**. Before calculating the sea-ice freeboard and thickness, the following conditions were applied to filter out data contaminated by clouds, saturation, and land or islands.
  - a. Gain limit. An upper limit of detector gain is applied to filter out stronger atmospheric attenuated waveforms. The upper limit for the laser periods are: 50 counts for L1, L2, L2A, L2B,L3A, and L3B; 80 counts for L3C,L3D,L3E,L3F,L3G,L3H, and L3I; 120 counts for L2C,L3J, and L3K (Yi et al. 2011).
  - b. **Pulse broadening limit**. Define a pulse-broadening parameter, S. See Equation 2 which is described in Table 6.

$$S = \frac{c}{2} \sqrt{\sigma_R^2 - \sigma_T^2}$$
(Equation 2)

Where:

Table 6. Pulse-Broadening Parameter Equation Description

Variable	Description
S	Measure of the broadening of the transmitted pulse associated with surface topography and the undesirable effects of saturation and atmospheric forward scattering
С	Speed of light
$\sigma_R$	Echo waveform 1-sigma pulse width
σ <sub>T</sub>	Transmitted waveform 1-sigma pulse width

Heavily saturated waveforms and forward scattering waveforms have broadened pulse widths, so data with S larger than 0.8 m are discarded.

- c. **Reflectivity limit**. Heavily saturated waveforms also tend to have very high apparent reflectivity, and forward scattering waveforms tend to have low reflectivity. Therefore, data with reflectivity smaller than 0.05 or larger than 0.9 are discarded.
- d. **Elevation limit**. Elevation varying more than plus or minus four meters are not used. This condition filters out some land, island, and iceberg data. These conditions are the same as in Zwally et al. 2008.
- 2. **Geoid**. Two geoid models are used in this study. The ArcGP geoid is used north of 64° N since that is the ArcGP latitude limit. The EGM96 is used below 64° N.

The difference, D, between ICESat measured sea level and the geoid was used to create a 5 km D-grid for each period. The mean of the 5 km D-grids was used as an improved geoid.

3. **Saturation correction and Inverse Barometer correction** are applied as shown in Equation 3 and explained in Table 7. Both corrections are the same as in Zwally et al. 2008. Sea surface response to atmospheric pressure loading, the inverse barometer effect, is computed using the method described in the Aviso and Physical Oceanography Distributed Active Archive Center (PODAAC) User Handbook (Picot et al. 2003).

 $\Delta H_{ib} = 9.948 \times (P_{atm} - P)$  (Equation 3)

Where:

Variable	Description
$\Delta H_{ib}$	Inverse barometer correction
Patm	Surface atmospheric pressure
Ρ	Time varying mean of the global surface atmospheric pressure over the oceans

Table 7. Inverse Barometer Effect Equation Description

ΔHib is applied to the ICESat elevation at the same time as the saturation correction. The surface atmospheric pressures used here are from the National Center for Environmental Protection (NCEP) (Stackpole 1994). The mean global surface atmospheric pressures over the ocean are from Collecte Localisation Satellites (Dorandeu and Le Traon 1999).

- 4. SSMI daily ice con. To avoid open ocean in low ice concentration areas, freeboard = 0 is assigned to areas where ice concentration less than 20 percent. This is an empirical limit to balance the sea ice filtered out and the freeboard contamination introduced by open ocean water waves.
- Elevation. The ICESat measured surface elevation, H<sub>ie</sub>, the i\_elev in product GLA06, is referenced to the TOPEX/POSEIDON ellipsoid. ICESat surface elevations have instrument corrections, dry and wet troposphere corrections, and tidal corrections applied. Elevation, h, is defined in Equation 4 and described in Table 8.

 $h = H_{ie} + \Delta H_{ib} + \Delta H_{sat} - h_g$  (Equation 4)

Where:

Variable	Description
h	Elevation above the geoid
Hie	ICESat measured surface elevation

Table 8. Elevation Equation Description

Variable	Description
$\Delta H_{ib}$	Inverse barometer correction
$\Delta H_{sat}$	Saturation correction
hg	Geoid height

- 6. Calculate 50 km running mean (h<sub>m</sub>) of elevation h. ICESat measures a surface elevation profile referenced to an ellipsoid. Due to the limited accuracy of the geoids and ocean tide models, and poor knowledge of the dynamic topography, sea-ice surface elevation referenced to a geoid cannot be regarded as sea-ice freeboard. The information needed to calculate sea-ice freeboard is the elevation difference between the top of the snow surface on the sea ice and local sea levels. If the elevation difference is known, even if the absolute elevations are biased, the sea-ice freeboard can be determined. Thus, the knowledge of relative elevation is crucial while absolute elevation is less important. Here we describe an algorithm to determine relative elevation and use this relative elevation to estimate sea-ice freeboard. By determining local ocean level and using only the relative elevation, the influences of the longer wavelength (greater than 50 km) factors such as geoid error, long wavelength laser pointing error and tidal error, which affect the absolute elevation, are removed from the freeboard calculation.
- 7. **Calculate relative elevation**: The relative elevation is calculated using Equation 5 and as described in Table 9.

$$h_r = h - h_m$$
 (Equation 5)

Where:

Variable	Description	
hr	Relative elevation	
h	Elevation above geoid	
h <sub>m</sub>	50 km running mean of elevation h	

Table 9. Relative Elevation Equation Description

- 8. Sea level, h<sub>s</sub>, at any given point is determined by averaging the lowest one percent of the h<sub>r</sub> values within 50 km of that point. The one percent value was selected empirically. It provides enough points in calculating mean sea level to reduce measurement noise, and also minimizes the influence of thinner ice on the calculation. This value may be optimized further as we learn more about the distribution of leads in the Arctic. In extreme cases when there is no open water within the 100-km range, h<sub>s</sub> will measure the height of thin ice, thus underestimating freeboard.
- h<sub>d</sub>(D). The difference between ICESat measured sea level and the geoid used is shown in Equation 6 as described in Table 10.

 $h_d = h_m - h_s$  (Equation 6)

Where:

Table 10. Difference Between ICESat Measured Sea Level and the Geoid Used Equation Description

Variable	Description
h <sub>d</sub>	Difference between the ICESat measured sea level and the geoid used
hm	50 km running mean of elevation h
hs	Sea level

10. Freeboard height, F, at a given point is calculated as shown in Equation 7 and described in Table 11.

$$F = h_r - h_s$$
 (Equation 7)

Where:

ble	11. Freeboar	d Height Equation Desc	crip
	Variable	Description	
	F	Freeboard height	
	hr	Relative elevation	

hs

Та otion

To have a valid F at a point, there must be enough valid elevation measurements available within 50 km of that point. In this study, a point is discarded if less than 50 percent (300 points) of the total 600 points are available.

Sea level

11. Sea-ice thickness, according to Archimedes buoyancy principle, is shown in Equation 8 and described in Table 12.

$$T = (\rho_w/(\rho_w - \rho_l))F - ((\rho_w - \rho_s)/(\rho_w - \rho_l))T_s \text{ (Equation 8)}$$

Where:

Variable	Description
Т	Sea ice thickness
F	Freeboard height
Ts	Snow depth
ρω	Water density
ρs	Snow density
ρι	Sea ice density

Table 12. Sea-ice Thickness Equation Description

In this study, constant densities of  $\rho$ W = 1023.9 kg m-3 and  $\rho$ I = 915.1 kg m-3 are used to calculate sea ice thickness from the freeboard. There is no spatial variation of  $\rho$ S. Snow density, including time variation, is based on Kwok 2008.

Since snow depths and densities available for converting freeboard to thickness are at 25 by 25 km grid scale, these gridded values reflect grid scale mean snow depth and density over the arctic but do not have the small scale variation that can be directly used to do the ICESat shot to shot freeboard/thickness conversion. Snow depth and density must be modeled to apply them to the individual measurements. The following method is used to do the freeboard/thickness conversion at a point.

Due to the dynamic nature of arctic sea ice, snow falls are not always accumulated on the top of sea ice. They may fall on leads or open water. So the snow depth varies from one footprint to another. Here a snow accumulation factor was defined as Fx (= 0.4, 0.4, 0.6, 0.1 for FM, MA, MJ, and ON), shown below with dates and lasers:

 $\begin{aligned} &\mathsf{Fx} = 0.4 \; \mathsf{February} \; \mathsf{to} \; \mathsf{March} \; (\mathsf{FM}) \; \mathsf{Laser} \; \mathsf{3E} \\ &\mathsf{Fx} = 0.4 \; \mathsf{March} \; \mathsf{to} \; \mathsf{April} \; (\mathsf{MA}) \; \mathsf{Laser} \; \mathsf{3H} \\ &\mathsf{Fx} = 0.6 \; \mathsf{May} \; \mathsf{to} \; \mathsf{June} \; (\mathsf{MJ}) \; \mathsf{Laser} \; \mathsf{3F} \\ &\mathsf{Fx} = 0.1 \; \mathsf{October} \; \mathsf{to} \; \mathsf{November} \; (\mathsf{ON}) \; \mathsf{Laser} \; \mathsf{3D}, \; \mathsf{3G}, \; \mathsf{3I} \end{aligned}$ 

It was assumed when freeboard is larger than Fx, snow is fully accumulated on sea ice; when freeboard is less than Fx, snow accumulation on sea ice is proportional to the ratio  $\delta$ =Fi/Fx. The following five conditions are applied when converting Fi to Ti:

< >If Fi < 0, set Fi = 0if Fi < Fx, set  $\delta$ =Fi/Fx and if Fi <sup>3</sup> Fx, set  $\delta$ =1Ts= $\delta$  Ts', Ts' is snow depth of 25x25 km grids, Ts is snow depth used in the conversionif Ts > Fi, set Ts=Fiif Ci < 20%, set Fi=0, Ci is bilaterally interpolated ice concentration at a point from SSM/I ice concentration Table 13. Snow Accumulation Factor DescriptionVariableDescriptionFifreeboardFxsnow accumulation factordratio Fi/FxTssnow depthCibilaterally interpolated ice concentration at a point from SSMI ice concentration Tisea ice thickness.

#### 3.3.2 Error Sources

Table 13 summarizes the GLAS single-shot error budget for elevation measurements (Zwally et al. 2002).

Error Source	Error Limit
Precision orbit determination (POD)	5 cm
Precision attitude determination (PAD)	7.5 cm

Table 13. GLAS Single-Shot Error Budget for ICESat Elevation Measurements

Error Source	Error Limit
Atmospheric delay	2 cm
Atmospheric forward scattering	2 cm
Other (tides, etc.)	1 cm
RSS	13.8 cm

For further discussion on error sources, see Zwally et al. 2002, and also refer to the Error Sources section of the NSIDC GLAS/ICESat L1 and L2 Global Altimetry documentation.

## 3.4 Sensor or Instrument Description

The data for this data set were acquired with the GLAS instrument onboard the Ice, Cloud, and Iand Elevation satellite (ICESat), from the SSM/I instrument on board the Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP), and from weather stations on the sea ice.

# 4 REFERENCES AND RELATED PUBLICATIONS

Dorandeu, J. and P. Y. Le Traon. 1999. Effects of Global Mean Atmospheric Pressure Variations on Mean Sea Level Changes from TOPEX/POSEIDON. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 16(9): 1279-1283.

Gloersen, P., W. J. Campbell, D. J. Cavalieri, J. C. Comiso, C. L. Parkinson, and H. J. Zwally. 1992. Arctic and Antarctic Sea Ice, 1978-1987: Satellite Passive-Microwave Observations and Analysis. NASA SP-511, 290 pp.

Kwok, R., and G. F. Cunningham. 2008. ICESat Over Arctic Sea Ice: Estimation of Snow Depth and Ice Thickness. Journal of Geophysical Research 113(C08010), doi: 10.1029/2008JC004753.

Picot, N., K. Case, S. Desai, and P. Vincent. 2003. AVISO and PODAAC User Handbook, IGDR and GDR Jason Products. SMM-MU-M5-OP-13184-CN (AVISO), JPL D-21352 (PO.DAAC).

Stackpole, J. D. 1994. The WTO Format for the Storage of Weather Product Information and the Exchange of Weather Product Message in Gridded Binary Form. NOAA Office Note 388.

Warren, S. G., I. G. Rigor, N. Untersteiner, V. F. Radionov, N. N. Bryazgin, Y. I. Aleksandrov, and R. Colony. 1999. Snow Depth on Arctic Sea Ice. Journal of Climate 12: 1814 - 1829.

Yi, Donghui, H. Jay Zwally, and John W. Robbins. 2011. ICESat observations of seasonal and interannual variations of sea-ice freeboard and estimated thickness in the Weddell Sea (2003-2009). Annals of Glaciology, 52(57):43-51.

Zwally, H. J., D. Yi, R. Kwok, and Y. Zhao. 2008. ICESat Measurements of Sea Ice Freeboard and Estimates of Sea Ice Thickness in the Weddell Sea. Journal of Geophysical Research 113(C02S15), doi: 10.1029/2007JC004284.

Zwally, H. J., B. Schutz, W. Abdalati, et al. 2002. ICESat's Laser Measurements of Polar Ice, Atmosphere, Ocean, and Land. Journal of Geodynamics 34(3-4): 405-445.

## 4.1 Related Data Collections

AMSRIce03 Sea Ice Thickness Data

GLAS/ICESat L1 and L2 Global Altimetry Data (GLAH01, GLAH05, GLAH06, GLAH12-15) National Ice Center Arctic Sea Ice Charts and Climatologies in Gridded Format

# 5 CONTACTS AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

#### Donghui Yi

Stinger Ghaffarian Technologies, Inc. Cryospheric Sciences Branch NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, MD 20771 USA

# 6 DOCUMENT INFORMATION

### 6.1 Publication Date

September 2010

### 6.2 Date Last Updated

19 May 2021