

ROOOPS/41 MA-702

UNITED STATES  
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE  
ROCKY MOUNTAIN NATIONAL PARK  
ESTES PARK, COLO.

October 10, 1941

*Rechn. Oct. 20, 1941*

Dr. Francois E. Matthes,  
Committee On Glaciers,  
U.S. Geologic Survey,  
Washington, D. D.

Dear Dr. Matthes:

Enclosed is a copy of the 1941 glacier report for Rocky Mountain National Park.

You will note that due to conditions described in the report, Tyndall Glacier was not measured this year.

We believe that the photographs, together with data included in this report will give a good picture of changes in Andrews Glacier since 1940.

We shall appreciate receiving the Committee's summaries when they are issued.

Yours very truly,

David H. Canfield  
Superintendent

By

*Russell O. Andrews*

Acting

ROCPS/41MA-P01

gtr ✓

10-23  
(May 1929)

UNITED STATES  
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE  
ROCKY MOUNTAIN NATIONAL PARK

Dr Matthes

FILE NO.

F. E. MATTHES COLLECTION

ROCPS/41MA-P15  
1941

GLACIER SURVEY

ROCKY MOUNTAIN NATIONAL PARK

**IMPORTANT**

This file constitutes a part of the official records of the National Park Service and should not be separated or papers withdrawn without express authority of the official in charge.

All Files should be returned promptly to the File Room.

Officials and employees will be held responsible for failure to observe these rules, which are necessary to protect the integrity of the official records.

ARNO B. CAMMERER,  
Director.

*Handwritten mark*

**SECRET**  
**CONFIDENTIAL**  
**SECRET**  
**CONFIDENTIAL**  
**SECRET**

1941 GLACIER STUDIES  
ROCKY MOUNTAIN NATIONAL PARK

The annual measurements of glaciers has been made on Andrews and Tyndall glaciers since 1932, excepting 1936 when personnel was not available to conduct the studies. In 1941, the study was undertaken, and only in part successfully. On the date of September 13, selected for the measurement expedition, weather became so adverse that only Andrews Glacier was measured, and the results of photography were quite unsatisfactory, due to the overcast skies and prevailing storm. Tyndall Glacier could not be seen, let alone photographed, when we reached it. Subsequent to that date, repeated storms so engulfed the front of Tyndall Glacier in new snows that lie deeply over it that measurement at a later date was not feasible. Hence, the 1941 study includes only Andrews Glacier.

Date

September 13

Weather

Party started at 7:30 a.m. At that time, skies were clear except for low cloudiness. High clouds rolled up from the west by mid-morning. By 11:30 a.m., skies were completely overcast. Snow and sleet, with fine rain were falling before measurements were concluded at Andrews Glacier. Throughout the rest of the day snow continued to fall, and heavy clouds lay completely over elevations above 11,000 feet. It was impossible to see Tyndall Glacier from its headwall or from the rim of the gorge on Flattop Mountain. The party dropped below the storm clouds just above 11,000 feet on Flattop Mountain about mid-afternoon, but skies were overcast by high clouds through the whole park region.

Party

The party consisted of Park Naturalist Raymond Gregg, Ranger-Naturalist Paul W. Nesbit, Bob Hutchison, of Denison, Texas, E. B. Scurr, of Omaha, Nebraska, and Dr. E. B. Settle, of Rockport, Mo.

ANDREWS GLACIER

As first observed from the east end of the lakelet at the foot of Andrews Glacier, the ice did not appear to be greatly different in volume, and probably only a minimum of shrinkage took place in the actual body of the ice itself, due to the heavy late spring snows that covered it deeply through most of the summer. The comparative photographs minimize even more the differences in actual peripheral relation to the canyon sides because of overlying new snow from a storm of September 8.

However, at the ice front, it was evident that continued retreat of the ice front had taken place during the glacier year 1940-41.



SKETCH OF ANDREWS GLACIER

Rocks identified by letters correspond to Plate I

#### Measurement from X'

The continuous tie-up with measurement data since 1932 is provided by measurement from Station X', on the south edge of the lake, although the oblique angle from this station to the present ice front is flat enough to introduce an error of several feet in actual forward or backward position of the front from a point directly ahead of the ice but horizontally even with Station X'. However, yearly measurements introduce about the same error due to angle of measurement, and as distance increases the angle changes less in percentage of error produced. Thus, measurement is comparative, if not of detailed accuracy.

In 1940, tapeline measurement along water surface and ground-slope across the mud delta to the ice front was 179'. In 1941, it was 212' 5". The large "apron" on the south shore produced by the marked advance of 1938 had completely disappeared, except for a snowbank from the September 8 storm that lay over the same territory between Station X' and the mud delta.

#### Measurement from X''

This station, on a huge, stable boulder at the south edge of the glacier was established in 1938, when it was possible to measure from X'' forward (eastward) to the ice front which then extended out on the north edge beyond the boulder on which the station was located. In 1939, the ice still held its front 23' in front of Station X''. In 1940, it had receded to a point 16' 9" back (west) of this station. In 1941, it was 54' 3" behind Station X'', a new peak of recession.

With recession, the edges of the "snout" have thinned rapidly, to the extent that Station X'''' measurements now must be made at an oblique angle (this was true in both 1940 and 1941). As long as the recession continues, requiring measurement westward from Station X''', it will be difficult to obtain a straight east-west line measurement. However, the error involved is small. The sketch probably exaggerates somewhat the departure from direct-line measurement. However, the presence of intervening boulders of considerable size make measurement in two to three reaches necessary, with some loss of ground-slope accuracy from Station X'''' to the snout. In fact, Station X'''' is some ten feet up on the boulder from the present surface of the mud floor, and is even higher than the level of the ice front at present.

In the sketch, and in the photographs showing the snout, the recession of the ice front is quite evident when viewed in relation to the rock immediately west of Rock "A" of Plate I and the sketch above. This rock is identified as Rock "D" in Plates IIIA and VI, which show the recession with reference to it most advantageously.

The mud delta in front of the glacier showed considerable increment over the status in 1940. Since 1932, this mud deposit has increased in its approach toward the large rock in the lake. Except for the advance of 1939, there has been no push of the glacier to remove it and its growth is noticeable in comparative photographs. Eventually, it may make possible shallow wading to the rock in the lake, in which case a station established there would give a very accurate annual figure as long as the glacier remains westward of the position of this rock. This point of advance was reached and exceeded during the first two decades of this century, as determined by early photographs. If it is reached again, a station on the rock should be established, with auxiliary measurement from a sight-line between two established points in north-south line across the lake either way from this rock. Thus, if the rock were submerged by the glacier, a line for measurement forward to the ice front could be determined.

If good weather prevails at the time of the 1942 measurements, it is hoped to establish these auxiliary stations on the walls of the canyon at either side of the lake in line with the rock in the lake. With this established basis, a direct compass line west from the south point to a man standing directly south of the most advanced point of ice would give an accurate forward-backward line figure of relative position from year to year. It will be necessary to find absolutely stable points to make this measurement feasible and accurate.

An interesting feature in 1941 was the presence of an ice cone on the north edge of the glacier near the front. This is indicated by arrow in PLATE III B, and is shown closeup in Plate V. Ablation debris also was more abundant on the front than in 1940.

Following precedent of 1940, determined recession from X'''' is used here as the report figure. Thus, 1940-41 recession is here reported to be 57' 6".

Table of Comparative Measurements

<u>Station X' to Nearest Ice</u>			<u>Station X''' to Nearest Ice</u>		
1941	212'	5"	1941	-54'	5"
1940	179'	(est. from 179' measure)	1940	-16'	9"
1939	92'		1939	23'	
1938	58'	3"	1938	48'	11"
1937	96'	10"	1937	No Station Used	
1935	66'		Apparent Recession, 1940-41:		
1934	136'		<u>37' 6"</u>		
1933	59'	10"			
1932	48'	7"			

Apparent Recession, 1940-41:  
33' 5"

With the disappearance of the thin "apron" edge along the south front, measured from X', there is now a fairly consistent recession figure for the glacier in the two measurements. Undoubtedly, the smaller figure for the X' measurement is due to more rapid dissipation of the north edge of the glacier as determined from Station XIII, and the difference in recession of south and north edge as reflected in the measurements is real. In view of the shorter distance involved, with less error percent inherent in it, Station X''' figures were used in the reported recession figures.

Meteorological Table

In determining influence of precipitation and temperature, figures for standard observer stations at Estes Park and Grand Lake are used, and an average drawn. It is probable, in view of prevailing westerly winds which accumulate drift for the building of the glaciers, that the Grand Lake figures influence glacier-advance or glacier-recession more than figures at Estes Park. On the other hand, major snowstorms are normally produced from easterly to northeasterly air currents, so that the Estes Park figure may bear more influence on actual volume of falling precipitation. At any rate, from figures compiled to date, no definite trends are traceable that make even, coinciding curves for temperature-precipitation factors and glacial advance or recession. However, the data should be compiled annually, in the hope that a long-range set of figures may reveal some consistent results in time.

<u>MONTH</u>	<u>STATION OR AVERAGE</u>	<u>TEMPERATURE AND PRECIPITATION</u>			<u>TOTAL PRECIPITATION</u>
		<u>MEAN TEMPERATURE</u>	<u>SNOW Depth</u>	<u>In. Precip.</u>	
Oct. 1940	G.L. <i>Grand Lake</i>	41.0	3/4"	.09	.68
	E.P. <i>Estes Park</i>	46.4			.52
	Av.	43.7			
Nov. 1940	G.L.	23.9	7 "	.75	.75
	E.P.	31.4	7 1/2 "	.69	.69
	Av.	27.1			

	Station	Mean Temp.	Depth of snow	Precip. inches	Total precip.
Dec. 1940	G.L. (Grand Lake)	31.2	15 "	1.00	.68
	E.P. (Estes Park)	31.0	9 1/2 "	.47	.47
	Av.	26.1			
Jan. 1941	G.L.	16.3	11 "	.80	.80
	E.P.	23.0	11 1/2 "	.61	.61
	Av.	22.1			
Feb. 1941	G.L.	20.0	24 "	1.40	1.40
	E.P.	30.5	1 1/2 "	.17	.17
	Av.	25.6			
Mar. 1941	G.L.	23.0	16 1/2 "	1.65	1.65
	E.P.	28.2	24 "	2.03	2.03
	Av.	27.0			
Apr. 1941	G.L.	31.8	23 "	2.80	4.48
	E.P.	36.7	12 3/4 "	1.00	2.91
	Av.	34.2			
May 1941	G.L.	45.2			.75
	E.P.	48.9			.68
	Av.	47.0			
June 1941	G.L.	46.8			2.80
	E.P.	53.2			2.48
	Av.	50.0			
July 1941	G.L.	54.8			1.10
	E.P.	61.1			2.68
	Av.	57.9			
Aug. 1941	G.L.	54.8			2.70
	E.P.	63.8			2.04
	Av.	59.6			
Sept. 1941	G.L.	44.2	2 "	1.20	1.75
	E.P.	50.9	3 "	.72	1.91
	Av.	47.6			
For Glacier-Year, 1940-41	G.L.	33.8	97 1/2 "	9.89	19.41
	E. P.	42.5	71 1/2 "	6.61	17.86
	Av.	39.0	84 1/2 "	7.65	18.65

Comparative Data

1939-40	G.L.	37.2	80 "	8.17	19.81
	E.P.	43.5	63 1/2 "	6.48	16.48
	Av.	40.2	72 1/2 "	6.35	17.84
1938-39	Av.	32.9	97 1/2 "	7.80	18.10
1937-38	Av.	39.4	115.70"	11.56	21.66
1936-37	Av.	37.5	93.19"	6.85	17.23
1935-36 <sup>a</sup>	Av.	40.7	89.00"	7.11	18.15

<sup>a</sup> Figures computed on Estes Park report only for 1935-36, prior to installation of Grand Lake weather station.

It is interesting to note that a substantial part of the April and most of the May snowfall through the years studied has fallen as rain rather than snow. In most instances, only slightly lower temperature conditions could have added considerably, often as much as 20% to the potential increment of the glaciers.



